

RIEMANNIAN PRODUCTS WHICH ARE CONFORMALLY EQUIVALENT TO EINSTEIN METRICS

RICHARD CLEYTON

ABSTRACT. Necessary and sufficient conditions for a Riemannian product to be conformally equivalent to an Einstein manifold are given. Such spaces which are complete are characterized.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of Riemannian metrics that are conformally related to Einstein metrics go back to Brinkmann [2] and before and has recently received attention by Gover & Nurowski [7] and Listing [10]. It is subtly related to the subject of twistor spinors, see e.g. [6] and to the famous Yamabe problem of whether the conformal class of a metric has representative with constant scalar curvature. Recently, Moroianu and Ornea considered the following. Take the product metric $g = g' + dt^2$ on $M = M' \times \mathbb{R}$ with M' compact but not the round sphere. Then g is conformally equivalent to an Einstein metric $\bar{g} = \phi^{-2}g$ if and only if the conformal factor ϕ is essentially $\cosh(t)$. Here “essentially” means that we identify homothetic metrics on M and M' and ignore translations of the parameter t . In particular ϕ does not depend on the coordinate in M' . This result was generalized by J. M. Ruiz even more recently to the case of $M = M' \times \mathbb{R}^q$ equipped with the product metric which is the standard metric on the second factor. He shows amongst other things that for $q > 1$ no conformal factor will change such a metric to a scalar positive Einstein metric [12]. These results may be generalized even further. The reader may also wish to consult [14] and [15] for some related results.

Theorem 1. *Suppose (M^n, g) is a Riemannian product. Suppose ϕ is a positive function on M such that $\bar{g} := \phi^{-2}g$ is Einstein with Einstein constant $\bar{\lambda}$. In this case then either*

- (I) $\bar{g} = g_B + f^2 g_F$ is a warped product metric on $M = B^q \times F^p$ and $g = f^{-2}g_B + g_F$ where $f: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive function such that $\text{ric}(g_F) = \lambda_F g_F$, $\Delta_{g_B} f - (p-1)|df|^2 + \lambda_F = \bar{\lambda} f^2$, $f^2 \text{ric}(g_B) = p f \nabla^{g_B} df + \bar{\lambda} g_B$.
- (II) $M^n = M_1^{n_1} \times M_2^{n_2}$ and $g = g_1 + g_2$, where both g_1 and g_2 are Einstein metrics with Einstein constants λ_1 and λ_2 . Furthermore, there are non-constant functions ϕ_1 on M_1 and ϕ_2 on M_2 such that
 - (a) $\phi(x, y) = \phi_1(x) + \phi_2(y)$ for all (x, y) in M
 - (b) constants $\bar{a}$ and $\bar{b}$ exists to the effect that $\nabla^{g_1} d\phi_1 = -(\bar{a}\phi_1 + \bar{b})g_1$, $\nabla^{g_2} d\phi_2 = (\bar{a}\phi_1 - \bar{b})g_2$, $\lambda_1 = (n_1 - 1)\bar{a}$ and $\lambda_2 = -(n_2 - 1)\bar{a}$.

Conversely, if (M, g) and ϕ fulfill the conditions of either (I) or (II) then $\phi^{-2}g$ is Einstein. When the conditions of (II) holds, $\bar{c}_1 := |d\phi_1|^2 + \bar{a}\phi_1^2 + 2\bar{b}\phi_1$ and $\bar{c}_2 := |d\phi_2|^2 - \bar{a}\phi_2^2 + 2\bar{b}\phi_2$ are constants such that $c_1 + c_2 = \bar{\rho}$, the renormalized scalar curvature of $\bar{g}$.

The conditions of (I) are precisely those giving an Einstein warped product metric, see [1]. On the other hand the conditions of (II) force both g_1 and g_2 to

Date: 23 May 2008.

be warped products of a very restricted form, at least locally. An argument in the proof of Lemma 5.2 of [11] shows that g is also, locally, a warped product. Note that, if B is compact the metrics $\bar{g}$ of I have non-negative scalar curvature. The metrics under II come with both positive as well as negative scalar curvature.

In the situation of a complete metric g we can be more concrete.

Theorem 2. *Suppose (M, g) is a Riemannian product and g is complete. If g is conformally equivalent to an Einstein metric $\bar{g}$ which is not a warped product, then M is $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ with the standard metric $g = g_1 + g_2$. Using polar coordinates such that $g_1 = ds^2 + s^2 g_{S^{n_1-1}}$ and $g_2 = dt^2 + t^2 g_{S^{n_2-1}}$ the conformal factor is $\phi(s, t) = \frac{1}{2}(s^2 + t^2 + R^2)$ for some positive constant R .*

Note: Setting $r \cos \theta = s$, $r \sin \theta = t$ the metric g becomes $g = dr^2 + r^2 g^*$ where $g^* := d\theta^2 + \cos^2 \theta g_{S^{n_1-1}} + \sin^2 \theta g_{S^{n_2-1}}$. We note that, where defined, g^* agrees with the standard metric of S^{n-1} . In this way we recognize g as the standard metric on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Under the transformation $(s, t) \mapsto (r, \theta)$ the conformal factor becomes $\frac{1}{2}(r^2 + R^2)$. Suitably restricted, the original metric g is a warped product metrics on the half-line times the $(n-1)$ -sphere. Under the map $u = 2 \tan^{-1}(r/R)/R$, the conformally changed metric $\bar{g}$ goes to $\bar{g} = du^2 + \sin^2(u) g_{S^{n-1}}$, the standard metric of the n -sphere. In particular, $\bar{g}$ has positive scalar curvature. However, $\bar{g}$ is not complete as the conformal transformation $u(r)$ isn't onto. More generally, part II provide instances of conformal changes which change the sign of the scalar curvature (see the examples of [9]). When, under I, B is compact it is known that the conformally changed metric is either scalar positive or f is constant, see [8].

Some comments and consequences. Different variations along the lines of warped products have been made in literature. One such is the *doubly warped product metric* $\bar{g} = b^2 g_B + f^2 g_F$ with $b: F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, $f: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$. We note that $g := (fb)^{-2} \bar{g}$ is a Riemannian product. Thus g is mixed Ricci-flat if and only if functions ϕ_1, ϕ_2 exist such that $\phi_1(x) + \phi_2(y) = 1/f(x)b(y)$ whence $X(f)Y(b) = 0$ for all vector field X on B , Y on F . In particular a doubly warped product is Einstein only if it is a warped product. A *twisted product* is a metric $\bar{g} = g_B + f^2 g_F$ where f is a positive function defined on $B \times F$. When the dimension of F is greater than 1 such metrics are also known to be mixed Ricci-flat only in the case of f being a product: $f(x, y) = f_1(x)f_2(y)$ [5]. In particular $\bar{g}$ is conformal to the Riemannian product $f_1^{-2} g_B + f_2^2 g_F$ and whence twisted products are Einstein only if they are warped. *Doubly twisted metrics* are $b^2 g_B + f^2 g_F$ with b, g being positive functions on the product $B \times F$. Doubly twisted metrics include metrics conformally equivalent to Riemannian products as a special case. As a corollary of our discussion above we have

Corollary 1.1. *There exists Einstein metrics which are doubly twisted but not warped.*

Comparing the claims we make in Theorems 1 and 2 with the investigations of Moroianu and Ornea another issue arises. Is it possible, in general, to characterize the geometry of (M_1^p, g_1) and (M_2^{n-p}, g_2) such that the product M^n is either conformally equivalent to a (strict) nearly Kähler metric in dimension 6 or a 7 dimensional weak holonomy G_2 manifold? These two types of geometries are Einstein with positive scalar curvature and, both before and after conformal change, have a nice characterization in terms of Fernández-Gray-Hervella classes [3]. They are also spaces with non-trivial Killing spinors and so are conformal precisely to spaces admitting twistor spinors. In the case $p = 1$ the only possible way to obtain a Riemannian product conformal to a nearly Kähler 6-fold is by equipping M_2 with a Sasaki-Einstein metric and taking M_1 to be an interval, see [4, 11].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let (M^n, g) denote a Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. Write ∇ for the Levi-Civita connection, R^g for the Riemannian curvature, $\text{ric}(g)$ for the Ricci curvature and $s = s(g)$ for the scalar curvature of the metric g . The normalized scalar curvature $\rho = s/n(n-1)$. In what follows we shall be sloppy and omit pull backs and inclusions so that on product manifolds $M_1 \times M_2$ a vector field X on M_1 is also a vector field on the product (since it defines one uniquely) and metrics g_1 and g_2 on the factors define a unique metric, denoted $g_1 + g_2$, on the product by adding the pull backs of g_1 and g_2 . With these conventions the Levi-Civita connection of g is related to the Levi-Civita connections on the factors by

$$(2.1) \quad \nabla_{X_i}^g Y_i = \nabla_{X_i}^{g_i} Y_i, \quad \nabla_{X_i}^g Y_j = 0, \quad \text{if } i \neq j.$$

for $X_1, Y_1 \in \Gamma(TM_1)$ and $X_2, Y_2 \in \Gamma(TM_2)$. The Riemannian curvature, the Ricci curvature and scalar curvature, respectively, satisfy

$$(2.2) \quad R^g = R^{g_1} + R^{g_2}, \quad \text{ric}(g) = \text{ric}(g_1) + \text{ric}(g_2), \quad s(g) = s(g_1) + s(g_2).$$

2.1. Conformal change of Curvature. Suppose $\phi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a smooth function. Set $\bar{g} := \phi^{-2}g$. This much is certainly well known:

$$(2.3) \quad \nabla_X^{\bar{g}} Y = \nabla_X Y - \phi^{-1}(d\phi(X)Y + d\phi(Y)X) + \phi^{-1}g(X, Y)D^g\phi,$$

where $D^g\phi$ is the gradient of ϕ , and

$$(2.4) \quad \text{ric}(\bar{g}) = \text{ric}(g) + (n-2)\phi^{-1}\nabla^g(d\phi) - (\phi^{-1}\Delta_g\phi + (n-1)\phi^{-2}|d\phi|^2)g,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \bar{s} = \phi^2 s - 2(n-1)\phi\Delta_g\phi - n(n-1)|d\phi|^2,$$

where $\Delta_g\phi$ denotes the Laplacian of ϕ , see for instance [1], Chapter 1.J. A metric g is *Einstein* if there is a constant λ , called the *Einstein constant*, such that $\text{ric}(g) = \lambda g$. An Einstein metric with $\lambda = 0$ is *Ricci-flat*. A metric is *conformally Einstein* if a positive function ϕ on M and a constant $\bar{\lambda}$ exists such that

$$(2.6) \quad \text{ric}(g) = -(n-2)\phi^{-1}\nabla^g(d\phi) + (\phi^{-2}\bar{\lambda} + \phi^{-1}\Delta_g\phi + (n-1)\phi^{-2}|d\phi|^2)g.$$

It is well-known that the metric of a Riemannian product is Einstein if and only if the factors are Einstein for the same Einstein constant. Applying a homothety to an Einstein metric gives another Einstein metric. If two Einstein metrics are conformally equivalent then the conformal factor satisfies the second order differential equation $\nabla^g d\phi = -\frac{1}{n}\Delta_g\phi g$.

3. CONFORMALLY EINSTEIN RIEMANNIAN PRODUCTS

Before dealing with Einstein metrics we shall prove a couple of related but more general results. First, by equation (2.2), the Ricci curvature of a Riemannian product is a section of $S^2(T^*M_1) \oplus S^2(T^*M_2)$, where S^2 is the second symmetric power of the (pulled back) bundle. In general, a metric g on a product manifold is *mixed Ricci-flat* [5] if it has the property that $\text{ric}(g)$ is a section of $S^2(T^*M_1) \oplus S^2(T^*M_2)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose (M, g) is a Riemannian product. Let $\phi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive function. Then $\bar{g} = \phi^{-2}g$ is mixed Ricci-flat if and only if there exists functions $\phi_1: M_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi_2: M_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi(x, y) = \phi_1(x) + \phi_2(y)$ for all (x, y) in M .*

Proof. The metric $\phi^{-2}(g_1 + g_2)$ is mixed Ricci-flat if and only if $0 = \text{ric}(\bar{g})(X, Y) = \nabla_X(d\phi)(Y) = X(Y(\phi))$ for all $X \in \Gamma(TM_1)$, $Y \in \Gamma(TM_2)$. Clearly if $\phi(x, y) = \phi_1(x) + \phi_2(y)$ then this is satisfied. Conversely, keep x_0 in M_1 fixed and consider $\psi(x, y) := \phi(x, y) - \phi(x_0, y)$. Then $Y(\psi)(x, y) = Y(\phi)(x, y) - Y(\phi)(x_0, y) = 0$ since

$Y(\phi)$ is independent of x . Therefore we may set $\phi_1(x) = \psi(x, y)$ and $\phi_2(y) = \phi(x_0, y)$. $\square$

Remark 3.1. The functions ϕ_i of Lemma 3.1 will be called the summands of the conformal factor ϕ . They are unique only up to the transformation $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \rightarrow (\phi_1 + c, \phi_2 - c)$ where c is a constant. Therefore, if say ϕ_2 is constant, no generality is lost by setting $\phi_2 = 0$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian product with constant scalar curvature. Suppose $\bar{g} = \phi^{-2}g$ is mixed Ricci-flat and also has constant scalar curvature. Let ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 be the summands as in Lemma 3.1 and let Δ_i be the Laplacians of the factors in M . If both ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are non-constant, then real numbers $a_1, b_1, c_1, a_2, b_2, c_2$ exist such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_1 \phi_1 = a_1 \phi_1 + b_1, & \Delta_2 \phi_2 = a_2 \phi_2 + b_2, \\ c_1 = (a_2 - a_1)\phi_1^2 - 2(b_1 + b_2)\phi_1 - n|d\phi_1|^2, \\ c_2 = (a_1 - a_2)\phi_2^2 - 2(b_1 + b_2)\phi_2 - n|d\phi_2|^2, \\ s = (n-1)(a_1 + a_2), \end{cases}$$

$$(3.2) \quad \bar{s} = (n-1)(c_1 + c_2).$$

Conversely, suppose that (M_1, g_1) and (M_2, g_2) are Riemannian manifolds with constant scalar curvatures s_1 and s_2 , and that $\phi_1: M_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\phi_2: M_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{R}^6$ solves (3.1) with $s = s_1 + s_2$. Set $\phi := \phi_1 + \phi_2$, $M = M_1 \times M_2$ and define $M' = M \setminus \{p: \phi(p) = 0\}$. Then $\bar{g} = \phi^{-2}(g_1 + g_2)$ is a constant scalar curvature metric on M' with scalar curvature $\bar{s}$ given by (3.2).

Proof. Suppose that ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 both are non-constant. Take X in $\Gamma(TM_1)$ and Y in $\Gamma(TM_2)$ and differentiate (2.5). This gives

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{s}{n-1} X(\phi_1)Y(\phi_2) = X(\Delta_1 \phi_1)Y(\phi_2) + X(\phi_1)Y(\Delta_2 \phi_2).$$

Write $Z := D^{g_1} \phi_1$, $W := D^{g_2} \phi_2$ and set $U_1 := \{x \in M_1: Z_x \neq 0\}$, $U_2 := \{y \in M_2: W_y \neq 0\}$. Then in the open subset $U_1 \times U_2$ of M we have

$$\frac{s}{n-1} = \frac{Z(\Delta_{g_1} \phi_1)}{Z(\phi_1)} + \frac{W(\Delta_{g_2} \phi_2)}{W(\phi_2)}$$

in $U_1 \times U_2$. Therefore there are constants a_1 and a_2 with $(n-1)(a_1 + a_2) = s$ such that $Z(\Delta_{g_1} \phi_1) = a_1 Z(\phi_1)$ in U_1 and $W(\Delta_{g_2} \phi_2) = a_2 W(\phi_2)$ in U_2 . But $Z(\Delta_{g_1} \phi_1) = a_1 Z(\phi_1)$ holds trivially in the interior of $M_1 \setminus U_1$ and so by continuity it holds throughout M_1 . Now insert Z instead of X in (3.3). Then we have

$$(3.4) \quad (a_1 + a_2)Z(\phi_1)Y(\phi_2) = a_1 Z(\phi_1)Y(\phi_2) + Z(\phi_1)Y(\Delta_{g_2} \phi_2).$$

and so $Y(\Delta_{g_2} \phi_2 - a_2 \phi_2) = 0$ for all Y throughout M_2 and hence ϕ_2 satisfies $\Delta_{g_2} \phi_2 = a_2 \phi_2 + b_2$ for some constant b_2 . By symmetry, the analogous equation holds for ϕ_1 . Inserting this in (2.5) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\bar{s}}{n-1} = & \left((a_2 - a_1)\phi_1^2 - 2(b_1 + b_2)\phi_1 - n|d\phi_1|^2 \right) \\ & + \left((a_1 - a_2)\phi_2^2 - 2(b_1 + b_2)\phi_2 - n|d\phi_2|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since the left-hand side is constant and the first parenthesis on the right-hand depends only on the position M_1 whilst the second parenthesis only depends on the coordinate in M_2 the first statement follows.

Obtaining the converse is a matter of inserting (3.1) in (2.5). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1. By formulas (2.2) and (2.6), a product metric $g = g_1 + g_2$ on $M = M_1 \times M_2$ is conformally Einstein if and only if a positive function $\phi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a constant $\bar{\lambda} = s(\bar{g})/n$ exist such that

$$(3.5) \quad \text{ric}(g_1) + \text{ric}(g_2) = -(n-2)\phi^{-1}\nabla^g(d\phi) + (\bar{\lambda}\phi^{-2} + \phi^{-1}\Delta_g\phi + (n-1)\phi^{-2}|d\phi|^2)(g_1 + g_2).$$

On the other hand, since $\bar{g}$ is Einstein it is mixed Ricci-flat. Therefore we get

Lemma 3.3. *If the Riemannian product (M, g) is conformally Einstein and the summand ϕ_2 in the conformal factor is non-constant then g_1 is an Einstein metric and*

$$(3.6) \quad \nabla^{g_1}d\phi_1 = \frac{1}{n-2} \left(\bar{\lambda}\phi^{-1} - \lambda_1\phi + \Delta_g\phi + (n-1)\phi^{-1}|d\phi|^2 \right) g_1.$$

Proof. Insert $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$ in equation (3.5) and project the result to $S^2(T^*M_1)$ to get

$$(3.7) \quad \phi^2 \text{ric}(g_1) = -(n-2)\phi \nabla^{g_1}(d\phi_1) + (\bar{\lambda} + \phi \Delta_g\phi + (n-1)|d\phi|^2)g_1.$$

Differentiating this with respect to some $Y \in \Gamma(TM_2)$ gives

$$(3.8) \quad 2\phi Y(\phi_2) \text{ric}(g_1) = -(n-2)Y(\phi_2) \nabla^{g_1}(d\phi_1) + \left(Y(\phi_2)\Delta_g\phi + Y(\Delta_2\phi_2)\phi + (n-1)Y(|d\phi_2|^2) \right) g_1.$$

Suppose that ϕ_2 is non-constant and pick Y and z in M_2 such that $Y_z(\phi_2) \neq 0$. Divide equation (3.8) by $Y(\phi_2)$, differentiate the result with respect to Y and divide by $Y(\phi_2)$ again to get

$$(3.9) \quad \text{ric}(g_1) = \frac{Y(F)}{2Y(\phi_2)} g_1,$$

where $F = \Delta_g\phi + \left(Y(\Delta_2\phi_2)\phi + (n-1)Y(|d\phi_2|^2) \right) / Y(\phi_2)$. Inserting (3.9) in (3.7) gives (3.6). $\square$

If, say ϕ_2 , is constant set $B = M_1$, $F = M_2$, $g_B = g_2$, $f = \phi^{-1}$ and $g_B = f^2 g_1$ to see that this is precisely the first case of Theorem 1. The conditions listed under I are both necessary and sufficient, see [1].

So suppose now that both summands of the conformal factor are non-constant. By Lemma 3.3, g_1 and g_2 are both Einstein and so g has constant scalar curvature. Taking the trace of equation (3.6) and exploiting the symmetry of the setup leads to

$$(3.10) \quad -\frac{\Delta_1\phi_1}{n_1} + \frac{\lambda_1\phi}{n-2} = \frac{1}{n-2} \left(\bar{\lambda}\phi^{-1} + \Delta_g\phi + (n-1)\phi^{-1}|d\phi|^2 \right) = -\frac{\Delta_2\phi_2}{n_2} + \frac{\lambda_2\phi}{n-2}.$$

Use equation (3.1) of Lemma 3.2 in the equality between far left and far right of (3.10) to get

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{n-2} - \frac{a_1}{n_1} \right) \phi_1 + \frac{\lambda_1}{n-2} \phi_2 - \frac{b_1}{n_1} = \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{n-2} - \frac{a_2}{n_2} \right) \phi_2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{n-2} \phi_1 - \frac{b_2}{n_2}.$$

By the non-constancy of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 this yields

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{\lambda_1}{n-2} - \frac{a_1}{n_1} = \frac{\lambda_2}{n-2}, \quad \frac{\lambda_2}{n-2} - \frac{a_2}{n_2} = \frac{\lambda_1}{n-2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{b_1}{n_1} = \frac{b_2}{n_2}.$$

The equation $(n-1)(a_1 + a_2) = s$ from Lemma 3.2 combined with (3.11) and some algebra ends with

$$(3.12) \quad s_1 = (n_1 - 1)a_1, \quad s_2 = (n_2 - 1)a_2 \quad \text{and} \quad n_2 a_1 + n_1 a_2 = 0.$$

Setting $\bar{a} := a_1/n_1$ and $\bar{b} := b_1/n_1$ gives part II and the claim about the Einstein constants of g_1 and g_2 .

To see the converse of part II suppose $\bar{a}$, $\bar{b}$ to be constants and M_1 and M_2 to be Einstein manifolds with non-constant functions ϕ_1 , ϕ_2 satisfying $\nabla^{g_1} d\phi_1 = -(\bar{a}\phi_1 + \bar{b})g_1$ and $\nabla^{g_2} d\phi_2 = (\bar{a}\phi_1 - \bar{b})g_2$. In this situation $\lambda_1 = (n_1 - 1)\bar{a}$ and $\lambda_2 = -(n_2 - 1)\bar{a}$ since this true near regular points for ϕ_j and since stationary points are isolated, see [9]. We note that then $(n - 2)\bar{a} = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$. On the other hand our hypothesis leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \text{ric}(g) + (n - 2)\nabla d\phi + \bar{b}g &= (\phi_1 + \phi_2)(\lambda_1 g_1 + \lambda_2 g_2) + (n - 2)((-\bar{a}\phi_1 g_1 + \bar{a}\phi_2 g_2) \\ &= [(\lambda_1 - (n - 2)\bar{a})\phi_1 + \lambda_1 \phi_2] g_1 \\ &\quad + [\lambda_2 \phi_1 + (\lambda_2 + (n - 2)\bar{a})\phi_2] g_2, \end{aligned}$$

which is proportional to $g_1 + g_2$ precisely when $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = (n - 2)\bar{a}$.

Finally, the left hand equality in (3.10) is equivalent to

$$(3.13) \quad 0 = (n - 2)\phi\Delta_1\phi_1 + n_1(\bar{\lambda} - \lambda_1\phi^2 + \phi\Delta_g\phi + (n - 1)|d\phi|^2).$$

Expand this according to equation (3.1), (3.11) and (3.12) and isolate the summands depending only on M_1 and those depending only on M_2 . The sum of those depending on both is zero. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.2 we obtain constants $\bar{c}_1$ and $\bar{c}_2$ such that $|d\phi_1|^2 + \bar{a}\phi_1^2 + 2\bar{b}\phi_1 + \bar{c}_1 = 0 = |d\phi_2|^2 - \bar{a}\phi_2^2 + 2\bar{b}\phi_2 + \bar{c}_2$ and such that $\bar{\rho} = \bar{c}_1 + \bar{c}_2$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2. Theorem 2 follows by scrutinizing the results and calculations collected in [9]. We deal first with the case $\bar{a} = 0$.

Given M and g as stated, Theorem 1 tells us first that g is Ricci-flat and in particular Einstein. Consulting the Main Theorem of [9], (M, g) is Euclidean space and, checking example 1b) of the same reference, $\phi(z) = \frac{1}{2}(|z|^2 + R^2)$ for a positive constant R . We conclude that $\bar{b} = -1$. On the other hand, g_1 is itself complete, Ricci-flat and admits a solution to $\nabla^{g_1} d\phi_1 = g_1$ (and the same for g_2). Invoke Proposition 26 of [9] (due to Tashiro [13]) to conclude that M_1 and M_2 are Euclidean spaces and $\phi_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}(|x|^2 + A_1)$, $\phi_2(x) = \frac{1}{2}(|x|^2 + A_2)$ such that $R^2 = A_1 + A_2$.

Now suppose $\bar{a} = 1$. Theorem 25 of [9] and its proof tells us that M_1 is the standard sphere; writing $g_1 = ds^2 + \sin^2(s)g_{S^{n_1-1}}$ then, after some adjustment, $\phi_1(s) = \cos(s) - \bar{b}$. No equivalent to the Theorems 25 and 26 is available for solving $\nabla^{g_2} d\phi_2 = (\phi_2 - \bar{b})g_2$. However, there are essentially only three possible solutions distinguishable in the following way: if ϕ_2 has a stationary point then M_2 is hyperbolic space with metric expressed as $g_2 = dt^2 + \sinh^2(t)g_{S^{n_2-1}}$ and $\phi_2(t) = \cosh(t) + b$ since g_2 is complete. In this case $\phi = \cosh(t) + \cos(s)$, which has zeros at the points $t = 0$, $s = \pm\pi/2$. For the other two cases M_2 is a warped product globally $g_2 = dt^2 + (\phi_2')^2 g_2^*$ where g_2^* is a complete Ricci-flat metric on some space M_2^* and ϕ_2 is either $Ae^t + b$ or $A \cosh(t) + b$ for some constant A . In both cases ϕ_2 is globally defined on M_2 but $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$ has zeros. $\square$

Acknowledgements. The author was funded by the Junior Research Group ‘‘Special Geometries in Mathematical Physics’’ of the Volkswagen Foundation and the SFB 647, ‘‘Space–Time–Matter’’ of the DFG.

REFERENCES

- [1] Arthur L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, vol. 10, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1987. 1, 3, 5
- [2] Heinrich W. Brinkmann, *Riemann spaces conformal to Einstein spaces*, Math. Ann. **91** (1924), no. 3-4, 269–278. 1
- [3] Richard Cleyton and Stefan Ivanov, *Conformal equivalence between certain geometries in dimension 6 and 7*, to appear in Math. Res. Lett., arXiv:math.DG/0607487. 2
- [4] Marisa Fernández, Stefan Ivanov, Vicente Muñoz, and Luis Ugarte, *Nearly hypo structures and compact Nearly Kähler 6-manifolds with conical singularities*, arXiv:math.DG/0602160. 2
- [5] M. Fernández-López, E. García-Río, D. N. Kupeli, and B. Ünal, *A curvature condition for a twisted product to be a warped product*, Manuscripta Math. **106** (2001), no. 2, 213–217. 2, 3
- [6] Thomas Friedrich, *On the conformal relation between twistors and killing spinors*, Suppl. Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo **Ser.II** (1989), no. 22, 59–75. 1
- [7] Rod Gover and Paweł Nurowski, *Obstructions to conformally Einstein metrics in n dimensions*, J. Geom. Phys. **56** (2006), no. 3, 450–484. 1
- [8] Dong-Soo Kim and Young Ho Kim, *Compact Einstein warped product spaces with nonpositive scalar curvature*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), no. 8, 2573–2576 (electronic). 2
- [9] Wolfgang Kühnel, *Conformal transformations between Einstein spaces*, Conformal geometry (Bonn, 1985/1986), Aspects Math., E12, Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1988, pp. 105–146. 2, 6
- [10] Mario Listing, *Conformal Einstein spaces in N -dimensions. II*, J. Geom. Phys. **56** (2006), no. 3, 386–404. 1
- [11] Andrei Moroianu and Liviu Ornea, *Conformally Einstein products and nearly Kähler manifolds*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **33** (2008), no. 1, 11–18, arXiv:math.DG/0610599. 2
- [12] Juan Miguel Ruiz, *On metrics of positive ricci curvature conformal to $m \times \mathbb{R}^n$* , 2008. 1
- [13] Yoshihiro Tashiro, *Complete Riemannian manifolds and some vector fields*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **117** (1965), 251–275. 6
- [14] ———, *On conformal diffeomorphisms between product Riemannian manifolds*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **57** (1981), no. 1, 38–41. 1
- [15] Yoshihiro Tashiro and In Bae Kim, *Conformally related product Riemannian manifolds with Einstein parts*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **58** (1982), no. 5, 208–211. 1

(Cleyton) HUMBOLDT-UNIVERSITÄT ZU BERLIN, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNTER DEN LINDEN 6, D-10099 BERLIN, GERMANY

E-mail address: cleyton@mathematik.hu-berlin.de